

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

CHERYL GRAHAM,	:	
Petitioner,	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	No. 10-1130
DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF BUCKS	:	
COUNTY, et al.,	:	
Respondents.	:	

KASSANDRA HICKS,	:	
Petitioner,	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	No. 10-1131
DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF BUCKS	:	
COUNTY, et al.,	:	
Respondents.	:	

ANDRE HICKS,	:	
Petitioner,	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	No. 10-1132
DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF BUCKS	:	
COUNTY, et al.,	:	
Respondents.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 17th day of March, 2015, upon careful and independent consideration of the petition for writ of habeas corpus, the parties' briefs, United States Magistrate Judge M. Faith Angell's Report and Recommendation, and Petitioners' objections to the Report and Recommendation, it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Report and Recommendation is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**;
2. The petition for a writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED** without an evidentiary hearing; and

3. There is no basis for the issuance of a certificate of appealability.¹

s/Anita B. Brody

ANITA B. BRODY, J.

Copies **VIA ECF** on _____ to:

Copies **MAILED** on _____ to:

¹ On page 37, the Report and Recommendation mistakenly refers to the case *Commonwealth v. Douglass*, 588 A.2d 53 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1991) as an opinion of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, I note that it is actually an opinion of the Pennsylvania Superior Court.